



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,909

04/06/2006

Vincent Carlier

4005-0277PUS1

7126

77032

7590

01/28/2009

Joe McKinney Muncy

PO Box 1364

Fairfax, VA 22038-1364

EXAMINER

LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2439

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/28/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,909	Applicant(s) CARLIER ET AL.	
	Examiner Christian LaForgia	Art Unit 2439	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christian LaForgia. (3) John Voisinet.

(2) Joe Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The representatives for Applicant and Examiner discussed proposed claim amendments to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. The Examiner made some suggestions, stating that the method steps had to be tied to a machine or apparatus. The representatives for Applicant had to take those suggestions back to their client for further discussion. The Examiner will take further action upon receiving a formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christian LaForgia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439	
---------------------------------------------------------	--